

REMARKS

Please note that as the present case is a reissue application, the Amendment format is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.173(b). The format requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 are not applicable in reissue applications.

This Rule 312 Amendment is being submitted to correct minor errors in the claims and correct an error in the Notice that multiple reissue applications have been filed for the reissue of Patent No. 6,032,156.

In particular, claim 20 has been amended to change "selecting means" to "selection means" for consistency with base claim 11.

Claim 67 has been amended to change "intended view" to "intended viewer" for consistency with claim 10 as amended.


The Notice that multiple reissue applications have been filed for the reissue of Patent No. 6,032,156 has been amended to reflect that Application No. 10/616,602 (Now Patent No. Re 41493) is a divisional reissue of patent No. 6,032,156, not a continuation reissue of patent No. 6,032,156, as is erroneously stated in the Examiner's Amendment mailed April 11, 2011.

No new matter has been added by these amendments.

This amendment is needed for proper disclosure or protection of the invention and does not require substantial amount of additional work on the part of the Office. Accordingly, the entry of this Rule 312 amendment is respectfully requested.

Should there be any questions or outstanding matters, the Examiner is cordially invited and requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at his number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Robert E. Rosenthal', written over a horizontal line.

Robert E. Rosenthal
Registration No. 33,450
Howard IP Law Group, PC
P.O. Box 226
Fort Washington, PA 19034
(215) 542-5824
Fax (215) 542-5825

Dated: July 7, 2011